

By Michele Fuller, Founder & Attorney | *The Settlement Architect*

Beyond the Verdict

What Trial Attorneys Need to Protect After the Case Is Won

A practical guide for attorneys who want to keep the outcome protected after the settlement is reached.

Winning the case is not always the end of the strategy. The number can be negotiated. The paperwork can be signed. And the outcome can still weaken after the file appears closed.

That is because the settlement does not stop working once the case is over. It still has to protect the client in real life. It still has to hold together across benefits, legal structures, and long-term administration.

Why this stage gets missed

Once the recovery is agreed to, the remaining decisions can start to look administrative rather than strategic. The legal fight is over. The pressure begins to shift. The handoff can feel routine.

That is exactly why this stage gets missed.

The attorney may believe the result is now moving through a normal process. The client may assume the remaining decisions are simply paperwork. Other professionals may each handle one piece. But when no one is clearly responsible for how the full outcome holds together after the money moves, the settlement can still become exposed.

What “beyond the verdict” really means

It means asking a different question once the case is won:

What still has to be protected before this outcome is truly secure?

That is the question that keeps the file from looking finished too early.

Page 1

Let's talk before your next settlement is finalized.

What can still unravel after the case is over

A settlement may look complete on paper and still remain vulnerable in practice.

Benefits Preservation

If no one is evaluating how the recovery affects benefits before funds move, the client may lose protections they still depend on.

Legal Structures

If structural and tax decisions are treated as a secondary step, important options may narrow once key decisions are already locked.

Trust Administration

If no one is clearly responsible for ongoing fiduciary oversight, the recovery may not remain durable over time.

Why trust administration matters to trial attorneys

Trust administration is not just a back-end detail. It is part of what determines whether the settlement continues to work after distribution.

If the long-term protection is weak, the file may be closed in the attorney's mind while the consequences are still unfolding in the client's life.

That is where post-settlement backlash can begin. Not because the case was poorly won, but because the outcome was not fully protected after the legal work ended.

What attorneys should watch for

- no one clearly owns fiduciary responsibility after settlement
- administration is being treated as a later detail
- the recovery is being pushed into one simplified path without asking what different parts of the outcome need to do over time
- benefits, legal structures, and trust administration are being handled separately instead of strategically together

Those are not minor details. They are signals that the settlement strategy may still be incomplete.

What protects the outcome after the verdict

The strongest settlements are not only negotiated well. They are also designed to hold after the case is over.

That means the outcome is evaluated across all three areas before the file is treated as truly finished. It means fiduciary continuity is not assumed. It is deliberately built into the strategy.

When settlement planning counsel should be brought in

Settlement planning counsel should be brought in while strategy still has room to work.

That means before distribution is finalized, before administration is treated as someone else's problem, and before a simplified path hardens into the default plan.

At that stage, the question is no longer just how the case was won. The question is whether the recovery is prepared to keep doing its job after the money moves.

What “keeping the file truly closed” requires

- the client's protections were considered before funds moved
- the legal structure was not treated as an afterthought
- long-term administration was not left without clear responsibility

That is what separates a completed settlement from a durable one.

BOTTOM LINE

The verdict may end the case. It does not end the need for strategy.

A settlement is not just a number. It is a strategy across benefits, legal tax structures, and trusts.